

	19 captures 26 Apr 11 - 22 Oct 12	SEP	OCT	NOV		Close Help
			22			
		2011	2012	2013		

Zeitgeist is a mind heist – venus project is a scam ?

Just another WordPress.com weblog

I am left to suggest one thing: Re-Structure The Zeitgeist Movement without TVP's/Fresco's establishment.

Peter Joseph Merola says the following on TZM forums:

Hello everyone.

I have been trying to get my head around this situation and wanted to run down the course of events with respect to TVP and TZM.

In 2008 I visited TVP and interviewed Jacque without having a clear idea of what they were about yet. I was trying to give the viewer of what was to be Z: Addendum a sense of possibility.

During the course of my research on Jacque during this period I came to understand how wrong I had been in many ways and how powerful the train of thought is with respect to the RBE model.

During the development of Addendum, which in many ways served as a gratuitous advertisement for TVP (because I really was excited about the perspective), I took a risk to include at the end “Join the Movement”

This was an experiment. I had no idea what to expect.

Jacque always stated that he wanted to “get to leaders” to get these ideas going.

My view was to get to the community moreso. I had little confidence (still do) with the idea that country leaders, politicians or the like would see this as a viable possibly without pressure. The indoctrination is just too high in most cases.

So- TZM was born as an interest to create “critical mass” which would serve as a pressure for the establishment. Since my experience and interest in activism was always around MLK Jr. and Gandhi, I knew that, historically speaking, it would take a “revolution” of some sort and we need

a peaceful mass to take the message to world. Hence the Social Movement.

From the period of 2008 to 2010 I visited TVP many times. I went twice to shoot Moving Forward footage and to get as much input as I can.

With few exceptions, all scripts, lectures, pdfs videos went to them before being published and anything that needed correction- was.

It is now that I see that the real problem has to do with not the following of the RBE Model concept... but rather the lack of following of TVP personal path of interest as an institution.

As many know, I was in support of their Film but didn't like the idea of direct, personal ZM donations for such a huge project. It seemed a slap in the face to me and others who have gone out of their way to not incorporate money and not expect the community to hold anyone up financially. It would be diff if the project also had a decent level of feasibility and possible return- this is not the case as per my 10 years of working in the film industry as an editor, producer and director.

I was rather working to get connections... in fact I had a line going to Ridley Scott. But ...not much I can do now.

Anyway, at no point did I get a message from TVP saying “hey peter- you got this technical issue wrong- we need to fix.” I would have immediately done so. Instead, it seems to be “oh- you wont support our drive to raise millions for a new film. well- you are not following us therefore we don’t want to work with you.”

This, again, on the heels of the most difficult film execution I have ever done at an extreme financial and personal expense... and that film promotes TVP more than anything, once again. I even went out of my way to make Fresco the ultimate “hero” character in it.

So then he/they come out with this idea that:

- 1) I don’t credit him
- 2) It isn’t the “direction”
and the ultimate that even I cant not get pissed off about
- 3) I want to be “center stage”.

All three points are absurd if anyone has paid any attention to my public life and statements.

Even more- TZM has had a link to their 501c through the Support page for 3 years. Thousands of dollars (at least) have been given to TVP through us and the Movement work. I have never questioned them on this, nor have any demands been made. I always trusted them. So, given

the fact that myself and many here have gone out of their way, at great hardship both personal and financial, to offer support to Jacque's work (even as an institution).... only to have it all thrown back in such a poor, childish way is simply sad.

All Roxanne had to do was issue a public apology to myself and the movement. “oops! Jacque was just in a mad mood!”

Nothing. Now, it is becoming clear that Roxanne, along with Andrew Buxton and others are working to further divide. They don't want resolution. They don't want help.

So, pending anything new, I am left to suggest one thing: Re-Structure The Movement and build our knowledge based from the train of thought itself – not from the TVP's/Fresco's establishment.

We have gone too far as of now and TZM's potential is tremendous. We cant stop now, with or without them. I am very sad about it, but I did nothing to bring this on – nor did the community.

http://www.thezeitgeistmovement.com/joomla/index.php?option=com_kunena&func=view&catid=3&id=333690&limit=10&limitstart=10&Itemid=100114#333742

After a member calls Roxanne and she outright refuses to apologise and says Fresco was in a bad mood, this means that she has not replied to Merolas email asking for a discussion or a reply/apology.

I called, a woman answered, I asked if that was Roxanne Meadows, the phone got put down. I called again and asked if I had the number wrong, is that The Venus Project and she said yes this is Roxanne Meadows! Quite funny. Anyway, I said I was from the Cardiff chapter, said I've spent all the time I could since TZM started promoting their project; what's the need for this division? She got into saying that Peter is pushing TZM in a direction that isn't TVP and summarised vague personal working differences that I didn't care to delve into and didn't. The difference over the motion picture seems to be the only tangible point of contention that she mentioned, the rest was much of a muchness. She started discerning that TZM and TVP are different things based upon the direction Peter's ideas are moving TZM in.

I eventually got to the video and 'what's the point of Jacque saying we all know nothing?' She said she wouldn't have said it that way but Jacque's never been one to hold back and she talked about Peter more. I said Jacque didn't just talk about Peter, he chose to disrespect the whole Zeitgeist Movement and she said that was his way of disassociating himself from TZM. I said why on Earth wouldn't the two organisations want to work together? She re-iterated the supposed

differences in vision. In defence of their position she said what are they supposed to do in their situation? I said they could talk it out with Peter. Not keen. They seem focused on keeping a distance.

I said I'm not telling anyone what to do because it doesn't work but is Jacque inclined to apologise? I didn't get a yes. I said TVP just about always gets mentioned in Peej's speeches, she didn't deny it but it's clear they want the two organisations to be separate. I said so if we keep promoting RBE doesn't that leave anyone who tries to be open to Jacque's harshness (she agreed he was harsh) to be attacked for not understanding it as much as he does and are TVP going to sue if we push an RBE (I don't know if this is possible by the way)? She didn't exactly answer but said other organisations have been pushing an RBE already and that ultimately if people want to find out about an RBE they should go to them 'cause they invented it. I said I've asked about everything I can, thanks for your time, take care, end of call.

That's about it. I've paraphrased it in bad grammar form rather than trying to quote from memory. It was calm and undramatic; obviously she's caught between a rock and a hard place and I've got no reason to make her day uncomfortable, she's going to stand with her partner and think whatever she thinks. I didn't even get into the crazy insinuation that I-don't-know-how-many-people seem to be expected to travel to his home to learn about the subject! If there is a chasm in differences of thinking in this situation, I think it would be that.

So it's clear at this point they don't have a reconciliatory attitude, which I'm very unimpressed with and we're left with wanting to promote someone's work who's decided he doesn't want to be friends with us, after we take them out of obscurity into potentially historic position. How strange. Overall I find the potential of this strangely exciting because the way Peter trims the fat off of Jacque's ideas for presentation can become de rigeur for the movement and we'd be an even stronger argument but it would seem what stands between us and that is a legal implication? If – if – that is a problem, how do we get around it?

http://www.thezeitgeistmovement.com/joomla/index.php?option=com_kunena&func=view&catid=3&id=333690&Itemid=100114#333690

Funny though because she has replied to a few of us skeptics.

“Hey Peter,

What about the legal-connotations about referencing Jacque and the resourced-based economic plan? Everytime someone says, “what’s that?” – they find Jacque and he says, “we don’t have anything to do with TZM, etc...”

Jacque/TVP have no legal foundation for any objection to us. They have given no financial support and since I rewrote most everything to be more comprehensible in our literature/videos- they can't impose any kind of infringement. Also, we don't even take any money as an institution so there is nothing but our perpetuation of the ideas. Ideas, btw, which came from thousands of other sources – not just Jacque. I told them a year ago that they could not copyright the term “RBE” or take credit for it legally. It is like copyrighting “Gift Economy” or the like. They still tried... and it failed, of course.

“I mean, it's a good idea... the idea that we survey and measure our resources in order to efficiently use them for psychological, physical and environmental health. No money needed. How or why would we restructure the movement without this plan in mind? Would we even structure it without the idea of a RBE? Would we just simply continue citing Jacque and co., just like we cite every other scientist and their ideas?”

The RBE as a basic logic exists on it own. Yes, the Term RBE is open for anyone and we can legally source anyone in literature, without approval as long as the footnote is there. The foundation and focus is the same.

“Granted, the “scientific method toward social-concern” isn't exclusively Jacque's idea, but how better would we be able to create a money-less society without the concepts described by you, Jacque and the resourced-based policy?”

We do what we have been. We show the reasoning and the need for critical mass. Also, other technical groups can be created to work out specifics, as I was also intending to set up months ago, but haven't had time.

http://www.thezeitgeistmovement.com/joomla/index.php?option=com_kunena&func=view&catid=3&id=333690&limit=10&limitstart=10&Itemid=100114#333753

So Peter says a few things that are important:

1: TVP are no longer important to the movement and it's no longer about TVP but about RBE, So TZM are now a splinter group like RBEF, RBOSE etc...hmmmm how the tide turns

2: This means VTV and his position as Venus project spokesman renders his position as obsolete to TZM..oh dear VTV you now have zero importance or relevance time to suck up fat boy.

3: Trying to trademark RBE was a faux pas as we all said in the first place, but were told to be wrong when we discussed this, now Peter Merola confirms we were right.

4: He was over ecited to represent TVP only in the first place and should have represented more important and diverse groups like we all said, he now agrees.

So Zeitgeist cultmembers owe some of us critics a huge apology too, haha, dont worry we dont expect you to give us one as we know you are not intellectually honest enough to do this. At least Peter has had the hindsight to see it after being bitch slapped by Fresco in public. I gotta give Peter some credit for saying these things in public, it would have been nicer if he had just avoided all the conflict in the first place with us and said “yeah guys you are right and I need to address this shit right away”, instead of waiting 2 years and letting his peons harrass the rest of the world.

Oh well you live and you learn eh Peter, next time listen to your critics with more attention.

~ by anticultist on April 18, 2011.

Posted in [Zeitgeist venus project conspiracies](#)

Tags: [anticultist](#), [cult](#), [discredited](#), [hoax](#), [Jacque Fresco](#), [monetary system](#), [peter joseph](#), [peter joseph merola](#), [resource based economy](#), [Roxanne Meadows](#), [the venus project](#), [the zeitgeist movement](#), [zeitgeist](#)

3 Responses to “I am left to suggest one thing: Re-Structure The Zeitgeist Movement without TVP's/Fresco's establishment.”

1. [...] read more hear: <http://anticultist.wordpress.com/2011/04/18/i-am-left-to-suggest-one-thing-re-structure-the-zeitgeis...> [...]

Peter Joseph Suggests A Complete Separation with Venus Project « Zeitgeist Movement Exposed said this on [April 19, 2011 at 1:52 am](#) | [Reply](#)

2. “So Zeitgeist cultmembers owe some of us critics a huge apology too, haha, dont worry we dont expect you to give us one as we know you are not intellectually honest enough to do this.”

You are being total asshole towards them. Like you have any moral grounds to demand apology. The train to have constructive dialog with TZM has passed long ago. Now it's just sad when you try to fish attention.

sh said this on [April 26, 2011 at 10:10 am](#) | [Reply](#)

- o awww you hurting because your grandad doesnt love you anymore ;-(

anticultist said this on [April 26, 2011 at 2:14 pm](#) | [Reply](#)

Blog at [WordPress.com](#). Theme: [ChaoticSoul](#) by [Bryan Veloso](#).

[Follow](#)

Follow “Zeitgeist is a mind heist - venus project is a scam ?”

Powered by [WordPress.com](#)